

# Occasional singers sing more proficiently when linguistic information is reduced.

Magdalena Berkowska<sup>1</sup>, Simone Dalla Bella<sup>1,2</sup>

N. of pitch interval errors

<sup>1</sup> Department of Cognitive Psychology, University of Finance and Management in Warsaw, Poland <sup>2</sup> BRAMS, Montreal, Canada



## Introduction

Most believe that individuals without musical training are unable to carry a tune. In contrast, it has been recently shown that occasional singers can sing proficiently in tune and in time, provided that they perform at a slow tempo (Dalla Bella et al., 2007; Dalla Bella et al., 2009). Still, some individuals (10-15%) are poor singers (e.g., Dalla Bella et al., 2007; Pfordresher & Brown, 2007; Wise & Sloboda, 2008; Dalla Bella & Berkowska, 2009).

<u>Goal</u>

Examine non-musicians' singing proficiency when they are singing on a syllable /la/ limiting linguistic complexity thus as compared to singing with lyrics.

## **Question 1**:

**Melody production** 

With lyrics

Were occasional singers more proficient on the pitch dimension when singing with lyrics than when singing on /la/?

**Melody imitation** 

**Pitch interval deviation (semitones) Melody production Melody imitation** 



Method

## **Participants**

50 occasional singers (15 males and 35 females), mostly university students, aged between 19 and 39 years (Mean = 25.1 years), general education = 12.3 years on average, without formal musical training.

### Tasks

## **Familiar Melody Production Task** (spontaneous tempo)

Participants sung 3 familiar melodies (i.e., Brother John, Jingle Bells, Sto lat) with lyrics and on the syllable /la/.

**Familiar Melody Imitation Task** 

With lyrics

With lyrics

#### (controlled tempo)

Participants imitated the same melodies with lyrics and on /la/ as in the previous task, but at a given slow tempo (quarter note = 100 beats/min.) as indicated by a metronome.



With lyrics

## Measures of singing proficiency

By acoustical analysis of the renditions measures of pitch and time proficiency were obtained.

#### Pitch dimension

#### *N. of pitch interval errors*

An error was scored when the produced interval was larger or smaller than at least 1 semitone as compared to the interval prescribed by the score.

#### **Pitch interval deviation**

Mean absolute interval deviation of the

PARTICIPANT	PITCH				TIME			
	LYRICS		LA		L Y R IC S		LA	
	PITCH ERRORS	PTCH INT. DEV.	PITCH ERRORS	PTCH INT. DEV.	TIME ERRORS	TEMP.VAR.	TIME ERRORS	TEMP.VAR.
o 1 2								
o 2 3								
o 2 6								
o 2 7								
o 3 1								
o 3 2								
o 3 3								
o 4 2								
o 4 3								
o 4 4								
o 4 7								
o 6 2								
o 6 4								
s 1 2								

#### performance from the score.

Time dimension

#### N. of time errors

An error was scored when the produced note was at least 50% longer or shorter than the duration predicted from the preceding note, as prescribed by the score.

#### Temporal variability

Coefficient of variation (CV) of the quarter-note IOIs, calculated by dividing the Standard Deviation of the IOIs by the mean IOI.

## **Conclusions**

Occasional singers were more accurate both on the pitch and on the time dimensions when they sang with reduced linguistic information (i.e., on a syllable) than when they sang with lyrics.

This finding is likely the result of the reduced memory load when singing on a syllable. In this condition, singers can focus on the retrieval of melodic information, thus leading to improved production of pitch intervals and pitch direction.

Fourteen occasional singers were qualified as "poor singers". Still, in pitch dimension, poor singers were more accurate when sung on the syllable copaterd to sung with lyrics, but in time dimension there were no difference.

#### <u>References</u>

- 1. Dalla Bella, S., Giguère, J-F., & Peretz, I. (2007). Singing proficiency in the general population. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 121, 1182-1189.
- 2. Dalla Bella, S., & Berkowska, M. (2009). Singing proficiency in the majority: Normality and "phenotypes" of poor singing. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences, 1169, 99-107.
- **3.** Pfordresher, P. Q., & Brown, S. (2007). Poor-Pitch in the absence of "Tone Deafness". *Music Perception, 25,* 95-115.
- 4. Wise, K. J., & Sloboda, J. A. (2008). Establishing an empirical profile of self-defined 'tone deafness': Perception, singing performance and self-assessment. Musicae Scientiae 12, 3-23.